



A Doctrinal-Analytical Study on the Objectives of Sharia and Their Role in Managing Epidemics: A Case Study of the Coronavirus Pandemic

Imen Krimi

Department of Fiqhe and Islamic Sciences, Université de Gafsa, Tunisia

imnelkrimi90@gmail.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received 30-06-2025

Revised 25-07-2025

Accepted 17-08-2025

Keyword:

Maqasid al-Sharia;

Coronavirus, Epidemics;

Public Health; Islamic Ethics

ABSTRACT

Throughout history, humanity has endured numerous epidemics that claimed countless lives. The COVID-19 pandemic, however, proved to be one of the most devastating global health crises in the modern era. Spreading rapidly across countries, the virus disrupted all aspects of life economically, socially, politically, and spiritually leaving governments and health systems overwhelmed.

In Islamic jurisprudence, Sharia objectives (Maqasid al-Sharia) play a central role in preserving human life. The pandemic underscored the importance of applying these objectives, particularly the five essential ones: protection of religion, life, intellect, lineage, and wealth. This study employs a doctrinal-analytical method by examining classical and contemporary Islamic legal texts, fatwas, and relevant academic literature to explore how Islamic legal maxims and the Sharia's preventive and protective dimensions guided individuals and communities in managing the pandemic responsibly. Focusing on primary sources such as the Qur'an, Hadith, and authoritative works of Islamic jurists, the study analyzes how public health measures align with Maqasid al-Sharia.

By prioritizing public health, social responsibility, and individual safety, Sharia offers a comprehensive ethical and legal framework for confronting modern epidemics.



©2025 Authors. Published by PT Mukhlisina Revolution Center.. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Epidemics have consistently shaped the trajectory of human civilization, emerging at various points in history with devastating consequences (Guspita dkk., 2025; Hanapi dkk., 2024). From the ancient plagues that wiped out significant portions of populations to more recent viral outbreaks, these events have tested the resilience of societies, economies, and governance systems. Their recurring nature underscores a fundamental vulnerability within the human condition: our exposure to invisible, rapidly spreading pathogens that disrupt the fabric of everyday life.

Among these, the COVID-19 pandemic stands out not merely due to its biological impact, but also because of its unprecedented global scope (Mu'in dkk., 2023; "The Practice of Adoption in the Sasak Community and Its Implications for Marriage Law in Indonesia," 2024). It transcended national borders within weeks, affecting virtually every country, and rapidly overwhelming even the most advanced healthcare infrastructures. Its influence permeated all dimensions of life from education and employment to mental health and spiritual practice making it not just a medical crisis but a multifaceted global emergency.

The intensity and scale of the COVID-19 crisis required more than biomedical interventions. While science offered diagnostics, vaccines, and treatment protocols, the deeper implications of the pandemic necessitated a response rooted in ethics, social order, and collective responsibility (Pratama dkk., 2025; Suryani dkk., 2024). The need to manage fear, misinformation, social isolation, and economic disparities called for frameworks that could address both material and moral dimensions of the crisis.

This is where religion, and particularly Islamic law, demonstrated its continued relevance (Ishom, 2023; Nasution, 2022). Sharia, or Islamic legal tradition, is not only a set of rituals or prohibitions; it is a comprehensive system rooted in justice, mercy, and the welfare of humanity. Within it lies the concept of *maqasid al-sharia* the overarching objectives or higher intents of the law that provide the moral compass for Muslim conduct in times of normalcy and crisis alike.

One of the foremost objectives in this framework is the preservation of life (*ḥifẓ al-nafs*), which is considered a fundamental human right and divine trust. This principle becomes especially critical in situations of widespread illness, where decisions must be made to protect individuals and communities from fatal harm. Islamic teachings elevate the value of life to such an extent that almost all other obligations may be suspended to preserve it.

The COVID-19 pandemic brought renewed attention to this core objective, prompting both religious scholars and policymakers in Muslim-majority societies to reassess how Islamic principles could support public health initiatives (Fitriyani dkk., 2023; Purnama & Tanjung, 2023). Ancient Islamic precedents, such as the prophetic instructions to avoid plague-stricken regions or the endorsement of hygiene, suddenly gained contemporary relevance as the world searched for solutions.

One such principle is the concept of *ḥajr* (quarantine), which was practiced during the Prophet Muhammad's time and aligns closely with modern epidemiological practices (Nazah & Muslimin, 2024; Pitta Allagan dkk., 2024). Another is the maxim *lā ḍarar wa lā ḍirār* there should be no harm nor reciprocating harm which underscores the ethical duty to prevent one's actions from endangering others, whether through neglect or resistance to public health measures.

These legal and ethical principles are not abstract theories but practical tools meant to guide behavior during crises (Natsif & Siddik, 2024; Nelli dkk., 2023). The pandemic thus became a powerful case study in the application of Islamic jurisprudence to real-life emergencies, allowing scholars to revisit foundational texts and derive rulings (*fatwas*) that upheld both religious integrity and scientific necessity.

In this context, the role of public responsibility emerged as a collective obligation (El-Hoss & Brown, 2022; Panjaitan dkk., 2024). Islam encourages mutual protection, social solidarity, and obedience to just authorities, especially in matters that affect communal welfare (Aini dkk., 2024; Yuni & Kusuma, 2023). Therefore, following government-imposed lockdowns, adhering to social distancing, and accepting vaccination were not just civic duties, but acts of faith rooted in the Sharia's emphasis on harm reduction and communal care.

Despite the rich body of Islamic legal literature on public welfare, few studies have systematically examined how the *maqasid al-sharia* were practically invoked during a global health crisis such as COVID-19. While many *fatwas* and articles emerged during the pandemic, there remains a gap in doctrinal-analytical assessments that bridge classical jurisprudence with contemporary public health ethics. This study addresses this gap by asking: How were the objectives of Sharia (*maqasid al-sharia*), particularly the protection of life (*ḥifẓ al-nafs*), operationalized in Islamic legal responses to the COVID-19 pandemic?. By answering this question, the study aims to provide a framework for integrating religious law with crisis management in future health emergencies.

Ultimately, this study aims to analyze how these theological, ethical, and juridical principles within Islam were activated during the COVID-19 crisis (Lahilote, 2022; Zahara, 2024). By examining their practical applications, we gain insight into the dynamic relationship between religious law and public health, revealing a tradition deeply equipped to confront contemporary challenges with moral clarity and spiritual compassion.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative, doctrinal approach aimed at analyzing Islamic jurisprudential principles in the context of public health crises, with a particular focus on the COVID-19 pandemic. The research does not rely on quantitative data collection or empirical fieldwork, but rather

emphasizes textual analysis of classical and modern legal sources in Islam. The goal is to derive normative understandings and legal interpretations rooted in the Islamic legal tradition that address contemporary ethical and public health challenges.

The methodological foundation of this research rests on the analytical-descriptive technique. Through this method, the study systematically identifies, categorizes, and interprets relevant religious texts and legal principles. Rather than offering statistical inferences, it seeks to explore meanings, uncover jurisprudential reasoning, and present a coherent narrative of how Sharia law engages with issues such as disease prevention, quarantine, medical treatment, and collective responsibility.

A major component of the analysis draws upon primary Islamic sources, notably the Qur'an and Hadith. These foundational texts serve as the ultimate reference for deriving legal and ethical rulings in Islam. The Qur'an offers general principles on the sanctity of life, communal obligations, and moral responsibility, while the Prophetic traditions provide specific guidance on conduct during times of plague and illness, including instructions to avoid affected areas and to refrain from endangering others.

Alongside these core texts, the study also draws upon authoritative classical jurists and legal theorists who contributed significantly to the development of *maqasid al-sharia* (objectives of Islamic law). Scholars such as Al-Shatibi, Al-Qarafi, and Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya are particularly central, as their works elaborate on the philosophical underpinnings of Islamic legal theory and offer insight into how Sharia responds to urgent needs and public harm.

Al-Shatibi's *Al-Muwafaqat* provides a detailed framework of the five essential objectives of Sharia, including the preservation of life, intellect, faith, lineage, and wealth. This framework becomes essential in understanding the rationale for Islamic legal leniencies during public emergencies. Al-Qarafi's contributions further refine the application of legal maxims, especially concerning harm prevention and communal interest, while Ibn Qayyim's writings on *al-tibb al-nabawi* (Prophetic medicine) bring together jurisprudence and health in a uniquely integrative manner.

The study also examines contemporary interpretations and rulings, particularly from the International Islamic Fiqh Academy. As a modern body of scholars, the Academy has issued numerous fatwas addressing public health ethics, infection control, and governmental authority during pandemics. These rulings form a bridge between classical jurisprudence and contemporary medical realities, offering updated guidance while remaining grounded in traditional legal frameworks.

Additionally, official documents and health recommendations from global institutions such as the World Health Organization (WHO) are incorporated into the analysis. These sources are not used as legal references but rather as contextual frameworks that inform the ethical relevance of Islamic responses to the pandemic. The juxtaposition of WHO protocols and Islamic rulings illustrates points of convergence between religious duty and scientific precaution.

By integrating both religious and public health perspectives, the methodology ensures a balanced and multidisciplinary examination. This approach acknowledges the dynamic interplay between moral obligations and medical necessities, which is particularly relevant in a time when health decisions can carry profound spiritual and legal implications for believers.

In analyzing these diverse sources, the researcher emphasizes both continuity and adaptability in Islamic legal thought. The consistency of Sharia in promoting public welfare is evident in both classical and modern texts, yet its flexibility in accommodating changing circumstances reflects a legal tradition capable of renewing itself in the face of novel challenges.

Ultimately, this methodological approach allows for a deep, reflective engagement with Islamic law as a living system. It reveals how jurisprudential reasoning rooted in sacred texts and centuries of scholarly reflection can offer robust ethical responses to modern crises, reaffirming the enduring relevance of Islamic legal thought in safeguarding life and promoting human well-being.

RESULTS

Essential Objectives (Daruriyyat)

These objectives prioritize the preservation of life (nafs). Islamic teachings advocate for avoiding harm and preventing transmission of disease through isolation, hygiene, and social distancing, as shown in prophetic traditions (e.g., "Do not enter or flee from a plague-stricken land"). Religious allowances, such as suspension of congregational prayers, were justified to protect lives during COVID-19.

Complementary Objectives (Hajiyyat)

These are needs that alleviate hardship. Islam permits legal flexibility in crises, such as performing dry ablution (tayammum) or sitting during prayer for the sick. Fasting exemptions during Ramadan were granted to those affected by the virus. The International Islamic Fiqh Academy emphasized that Sharia mandates such dispensations in times of distress.

Embellishing Objectives (Tahsiniyyat)

These promote virtuous practices and public ethics. Islam encourages hygiene, social solidarity, use of masks, covering sneezes, and proper burial practices. Spiritual practices such as prayer, charity, and supplication foster community morale and individual hope. Promoting verified public health information and combating misinformation were also highlighted.

DISCUSSION

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a powerful lens through which the comprehensive nature of Islamic Sharia was brought into sharp focus (Rajafi dkk., 2025; Zein dkk., 2023). Far from being a static or rigid legal code, Sharia revealed its dynamic capacity to respond to the complex challenges of modern life. As the world grappled with a global health crisis, Sharia provided not only legal rulings but also moral and spiritual guidance that proved essential for both individual conduct and collective response.

Within the Islamic tradition, Sharia is more than a system of law; it is a holistic structure designed to preserve the five essential values: life, faith, intellect, lineage, and wealth (Anshori, 2024; Sati dkk., 2025). These foundational goals, known as the Maqasid al-Sharia, provided a framework for navigating the uncertainties and dangers presented by the pandemic. By placing the preservation of life at the forefront, Sharia offered a clear religious justification for public health measures such as quarantine, vaccination, and the suspension of communal religious gatherings.

During the height of the pandemic, mosques around the world made the difficult decision to close their doors, suspending congregational prayers and even Friday sermons (Subeitan dkk., 2025; Zuhdi dkk., 2024). These actions, guided by Sharia principles, illustrated the deep compatibility between religious obligations and scientific reasoning. The flexibility to temporarily forgo ritual obligations in favor of safeguarding human life underscored Sharia's pragmatism and its commitment to the well-being of society.

Moreover, the ethical teachings of Sharia played a significant role in shaping community behavior (Dungga dkk., 2023; Nofiardi, 2023). Concepts such as mutual responsibility, protection of the vulnerable, and the duty to avoid harm provided a moral compass for believers during a time of widespread fear and misinformation. Public health directives such as wearing masks, maintaining social distance, and seeking medical treatment found reinforcement in Islamic teachings that emphasize cleanliness, prevention, and compassion.

The adaptability of Sharia in times of crisis reflects its inherent wisdom and timelessness (Ideham, 2022; Sururie dkk., 2023). Far from being confined to a seventh-century context, its principles have been reinterpreted and applied by scholars to address modern dilemmas. This capacity to evolve without abandoning core values affirms the continued relevance of Sharia in today's rapidly

changing world. It also demonstrates how religion can be an ally rather than an obstacle in promoting scientific and medical advancement.

In addition to legal and ethical guidance, Sharia also offered emotional and spiritual support to those affected by the pandemic (Hartini dkk., 2024; Syahrin dkk., 2022). The Islamic emphasis on patience (*sabr*), trust in God (*tawakkul*), and collective prayer fostered a sense of inner peace and communal solidarity. While the virus separated people physically, Sharia helped to maintain spiritual and emotional connections, reminding individuals of their shared humanity and divine purpose.

The psychological toll of the pandemic, including anxiety, grief, and isolation, was mitigated for many by the resilience built through faith (Hadi dkk., 2023; Hanafi, 2024). Daily prayers, remembrance of God (*dhikr*), and supplications offered comfort and continuity in an otherwise unpredictable time. Islamic teachings on hope, mercy, and endurance provided a framework for emotional healing and personal strength, contributing to mental health in meaningful ways.

Community solidarity, another pillar of Sharia, was activated through acts of charity, support for the sick, and assistance to those who lost income (Oslami, 2022; Prihasmoro dkk., 2024). Islamic injunctions to care for one's neighbors and to distribute wealth during hardship manifested in food drives, online fundraisers, and volunteer networks. These expressions of social justice reflect Sharia's vision of an interdependent society where individual prosperity is linked to collective welfare.

Perhaps most importantly, the pandemic underscored the spiritual dimensions of health and illness in Islamic thought (Firdaus dkk., 2024; Hidayah dkk., 2023). Sharia does not treat disease solely as a physical ailment, but also as a spiritual test and an opportunity for reflection and renewal. This integrated view encourages believers to seek both medical treatment and divine help, recognizing that healing comes from both human effort and divine will.

In conclusion, the COVID-19 crisis revealed the multidimensional role of Sharia in supporting human life during times of upheaval (Beyene, 2024; Fakhria dkk., 2024). By aligning religious values with public health, promoting ethical conduct, and nurturing psychological and spiritual resilience, Sharia proved to be a vital resource in facing one of the most profound challenges of our time. Its teachings continue to offer a roadmap not only for survival but for holistic, values-driven living in an interconnected world.

CONCLUSION

Islamic Sharia, through its holistic *Maqasid*, provides comprehensive strategies for dealing with pandemics. From preserving life to encouraging public responsibility and ethical behavior, it supports both individual and collective actions that are medically sound and spiritually grounded. These insights are valuable for policymakers, religious scholars, and public health authorities in designing inclusive, culturally sensitive responses to future health crises.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Aini, N., Fitriadi, M., Darwis, R., & Baharuddin, A. Z. (2024). The Fulfillment of Women's and Children's Rights Post-Divorce in Judicial Decisions of the Unaaha Religious Court. *Jurnal Ilmiah Al-Syir'ah*, 22(1), 95–112. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.30984/jis.v22i1.2904>
- Anshori, A. Y. (2024). The Contestation of Legal Foundations in the Resolution of Islamic Economic Disputes in Religious Courts. *Al-Manahij: Jurnal Kajian Hukum Islam*, 18(2), 271–288. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.24090/mnh.v18i2.11934>

- Beyene, M. (2024). Secular Interpretation of the Best Interest of the Child: CCI's Decision on Child Custody in the Context of Divorced Parents (Case Comment). *Mizan Law Review*, 18(2), 447–456. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4314/mlr.v18i2.8>
- Dungga, W. A., Muhtar, M. H., & Djaafar, L. (2023). The Assessment of Indonesia's Religious Courts in Resolving Shari'ah Banking Disputes According to the Principles of Justice. *Manchester Journal of Transnational Islamic Law and Practice*, 19(3), 179–193. Scopus.
- El-Hoss, T., & Brown, L. (2022). Road-testing child protection typologies: A case study in Lebanon. *Child Abuse Review*, 31(4). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/car.2751>
- Fakhria, S., Mustofa, K. N., Ma'mun, M., Wahidi, A., & Rachmatulloh, M. A. (2024). Securing Muslim Children's Civil Rights: Debate on State Legal Policy towards The Issuance of Family Cards for Unregistered Marriage Couples. *El-Mashlahah*, 14(2), 303–322. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.23971/el-mashlahah.v14i2.8008>
- Firdaus, M. I., Retnowati, M. S., & Abdurrozaq, M. (2024). SETTLEMENT OF SHARIA ECONOMIC DISPUTES: EFFICIENCY OF IMPLEMENTATION IN INDONESIAN RELIGIOUS COURTS. *Justicia Islamica*, 21(2), 335–356. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.21154/justicia.v21i2.9240>
- Fitriyani, F., Jahar, A. S., Subhan, Z., & Rosdiana, R. (2023). The Judges' Legal Consideration on Divorce of Nushūz Cases at the Kupang High Religious Court: Gender Perspective. *Samarah*, 7(3), 1971–1989. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/SJHK.V7I3.14425>
- Guspita, D. R., Ridwan, A. H., Khosi'ah, S., & Solehuddin, E. (2025). THE PRINCIPLE OF FAMILY RESILIENCE IN ISLAMIC LAW AND ITS RELEVANCE TO CILEGON'S REGIONAL REGULATION NUMBER 1/2019. *Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan*, 25(1), 20–32. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.30631/alrisalah.v25i1.1821>
- Hadi, M. N., Syuhadak, F., & Kusrin, Z. M. (2023). SOCIAL JUSTICE AND HUMANITY ON POLYGAMOUS MARRIAGE AT THE RELIGIOUS COURT OF PASURUAN-INDONESIA. *Justicia Islamica*, 20(2), 281–300. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.21154/justicia.v20i2.7324>
- Hanafi, D. (2024). Social Media Usage Deviation and Impact on Muslim Family Dynamics in Makassar City, South Sulawesi. *Samarah*, 8(3), 1597–1622. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v8i3.24113>
- Hanapi, A., Aini, S., Husnul, M., Usman, I., & Solin, S. D. N. (2024). The Practice of Triple Talaq and Women's Protection in Aceh: A Maslahat Perspective. *Samarah*, 8(2), 1142–1164. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v8i2.15865>
- Hartini, H., Ilhami, H., & Yusoff, R. M. (2024). Sole Custody and The Implication of Fault-based Divorce Under the Indonesian Legal System. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 9(1), 249–278. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.vol9i1.4576>
- Hidayah, N., Azis, A., Mutiara, T., & Larasati, D. (2023). SHARIA BANKING DISPUTES SETTLEMENT: Analysis of Religious Court Decision in Indonesia. *Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan*, 23(1), 75–92. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.30631/alrisalah.v23i1.1347>
- Ideham, W. (2022). Substitute Heirs in the Compilation of Islamic Law: An Overview from Gender Equality Perspective Case Study of the Religious Courts in Banjarmasin. *Samarah*, 6(2), 1046–1064. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v6i2.12466>
- Ishom, M. (2023). The Loose Interpretation of Dominus Litis Principle in Marriage Dispensation for Underage Marriage in Banten. *Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah*, 23(2), 329–350. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.15408/ajis.v23i2.29881>

- Lahilote, H. S. (2022). The Effectiveness of Mobile Court Implementation in Manado Religious Court. *Al-Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 7(1), 55–74. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v7i1.3809>
- Mu'in, F., Fikri, A., Asnawi, H. S., & Nawawi, M. A. (2023). THE PRACTICE OF SUBSTITUTE HEIRS IN INDONESIAN RELIGIOUS COURT: Restricted Interpretation. *Al-Ahwal*, 16(1), 141–157. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.14421/ahwal.2023.16107>
- Nasution, M. S. A. (2022). The Legality of Divorce in Aceh: A Study of Divorce Practices Out of Religious Courts. *Al-Manahij: Jurnal Kajian Hukum Islam*, 16(2), 165–180. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.24090/mnh.v16i2.6389>
- Natsif, F. A., & Siddik, H. (2024). The Impact of Theological Interpretations on Divorces within Muslim Families in Makassar City, Indonesia. *Samarah*, 8(1), 339–360. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v8i1.20621>
- Nazah, F. N., & Muslimin, J. M. (2024). THE JUDGES LEGAL REASONING ON CHILD WELFARE'S PERSPECTIVE IN THE ḤADĀNAH CASES AT BANTEN RELIGIOUS COURTS. *Jurnal Hukum Unissula*, 40(1), 14–31. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.26532/jh.v40i1.36621>
- Nelli, J., Mansur, A., Hardani, S., & Aida, I. N. (2023). The Immorality of a Husband as the Cause of a Working Wife to File for Divorce Lawsuit in Indonesia. *Juris: Jurnal Ilmiah Syariah*, 22(1), 119–132. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.31958/juris.v22i1.7392>
- Nofiardi, N. (2023). Testimonium de Auditu Witness: Comparison of Maṣlahah in the Settlement of Syiqaq in the Religious Court of the Border Regions. *Samarah*, 7(2), 1016–1036. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v7i2.11493>
- Oslami, A. F. (2022). Sharia Economic Dispute Settlement Between Religious Courts And Basyarnas. *Nurani*, 22(1), 81–94. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.19109/nurani.v22i1.10667>
- Panjaitan, B. S., Putra Halomoan, H. S. B., Kurniawan, P., Sirait, A. S., & Ma'mun, S. (2024). Revitalizing Sharia Advocates: Reforming the Law on Advocates in Strengthening the Role of Islamic Law in Indonesia. *Al-Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 9(2), 451–470. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v9i2.10875>
- Pitta Allagan, T. M., Himmah, D. R., & Hisana, A. (2024). THE INDONESIAN GUARDIANSHIP AND INDONESIAN PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW. *Indonesia Law Review*, 14(3), 19–38. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.15742/ilrev.v14n3.2>
- Pratama, A. H., Paserangi, H., Mufrih, A. N., Talli, A. H., & Samuri, M. A. A. B. (2025). The Position of Choice of Forum and Alternative Dispute Resolution Principles in Contemporary Sharia-Based Property Dispute. *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review*, 4(1), 184–207. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v4i1.10140>
- Prihasmoro, A., Sopyan, Y., & Abdullah, R. (2024). Sharia Economic Bankruptcy Law (al-Taflis) and the Dualism of Court Competency in Indonesia. *Juris: Jurnal Ilmiah Syariah*, 23(2), 227–239. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.31958/juris.v23i2.11045>
- Purnama, D., & Tanjung, D. (2023). THE JUSTICE ORIENTATION IN THE COURT DECREE: The Judge Legal Reasoning in Compensation Imposition of Musharakah Agreement. *Justicia Islamica*, 20(2), 241–260. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.21154/justicia.v20i2.6845>
- Rajafi, A., Alwi, B. M., & Hasan, F. (2025). The Dilemma of Joint Property (Gono-gini) in Multi-Ethnic Marriage Communities in North Sulawesi. *Al-Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 10(1), 280–302. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v10i1.11802>
- Sati, A., Halim, A., Nasution, A. H., & Ridwan, M. (2025). The Digital Transformation of Tafsir and Its Implications for Islamic Legal Derivation in the Contemporary Era. *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review*, 4(1), 389–415. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v4i1.10425>

- Subeitani, S. M., Bilalu, N., Zakariah, A. A., & Muhammad, A. A. (2025). The Authority of Ex Officio Judges in Protecting Women's and Children's Rights Post-Divorce at the Manado Religious Court. *Jurnal Ilmiah Al-Syir'ah*, 23(1), 102–116. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.30984/jis.v23i1.3318>
- Sururie, R. W., Athoillah, M., & Ulhaq, M. I. Z. (2023). Strategies to Prevent Increasing Divorce Rates for Muslim Families in Indonesia. *Samarah*, 7(2), 734–759. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v7i2.14819>
- Suryani, I., Warman, A. B., Efendi, R., & Tenrilawa, D. F. (2024). The Possibility of Talaq Performers Criminalization in Indonesia: An Essential Lesson from India. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 4(3), 593–620. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jhcls.v4i3.282>
- Syahrin, A., Sodikin, A., & Hakimi, A. R. (2022). SOCIOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION BY RELIGIOUS COURT JUDGES: Views of Bantul Religious Court Judges on the Husband's Financial Capability Requirement for Polygamy. *Al-Ahwal*, 15(2), 207–222. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.14421/ahwal.2022.15203>
- The Practice of Adoption in the Sasak Community and Its Implications for Marriage Law in Indonesia. (2024). *Samarah*, 8(1), 445–467. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v8i1.18581>
- Yuni, L. A., & Kusuma, J. (2023). The Fulfillment of Women's Rights in Verstek Decisions at Samarinda and Sangatta Religious Court. *Al-'Adalah*, 20(2), 257–282. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.24042/adalah.v20i2.16119>
- Zahara, F. (2024). The Dynamics of Adoptive Fathers as Marriage Guardians in Contemporary Studies. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mizani*, 11(1), 33–48. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.29300/mzn.v11i1.3442>
- Zein, N. A., Yazid, I., & Firmansyah, H. (2023). The Dualism of Reconciliation Law After Triple Talaq Outside the Court. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mizani*, 10(1), 186–195. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.29300/mzn.v10i1.4964>
- Zuhdi, S., Dimiyati, K., Wardiono, K., Shofia, R., & Hakim, A. (2024). The Confiscation of Husbands' Wealth as A Collateral for Post-Divorce Child Support: Perspective of Maqāsid Al-Sharī'ah. *Samarah*, 8(2), 912–933. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v8i2.17326>